WEDNESDAY / 14 OCTOBER 2026

Approved design. Received sample. The same product?

If a different pocket has been added to the coat, “it looks similar overall” is not enough for production approval.

WHAT YOU CAN TAKE FROM THIS PUBLICATION

For product development: a review card that keeps the visible difference, reference and team decision in the same record.

The business problem / the technology / a tool you can use

It looks like the same coat. The pocket is different.


Illustrative drawing. Not a real sample or AI output.

APPROVED DESIGN



Flap pocket

RECEIVED SAMPLE



Zipped pocket

Review the pocket difference before production. AI’s role is to suggest a difference the team should examine, rather than give a similarity score.

Ask AI “what changed?”, not just “are they similar?”

SartoAI’s proposed sample-review workflow

01 THE RIGHT REFERENCE

The approved image and technical specifications for the same style

02 AI-ASSISTED PRELIMINARY REVIEW

List visible differences in pockets, trims and other details.

03 TEAM REVIEW

Verify the difference and record the correction or acceptance decision.

Mark any detail that is not visible as “cannot be assessed”. A photo does not replace measurements or physical quality inspection.

Sample-difference review card

Product development + sourcing. A completed illustrative example.

STYLE / APPROVED REFERENCE

M-218 coat / approved version 03

DETAIL BEING REVIEWED

Front pocket closure

REFERENCE / SAMPLE

Flap pocket / zipped pocket

TEAM'S NEXT STEP

Clarify the pocket difference with the supplier.

Add your own image links. This card records only the visible difference; it is not production or physical quality approval.

A first project with SartoAI: differences to check.

Proposed project. Not a ready-made product or working demo.

01 A DIFFERENCE IS VISIBLE

List the style, detail and both references.

02 THE IMAGE IS INSUFFICIENT

Request another angle or a detail photo.

03 IT IS A PHYSICAL PROPERTY

Leave it to measurement and specialist inspection.

Start by reviewing the existing product-development software. AI testing, integration and setup scope are defined during discovery.

Run a small test on 10 styles.

MARIA SARTO / RECOMMENDATION CARD

01 OUR RECOMMENDATION

Run a small test on 10 styles.

02 WHAT IT IS BASED ON

Google’s image-understanding guide [S1]. The sample-review workflow is a SartoAI proposal, not a field result.

03 YOUR FIRST STEP THIS WEEK

Select approved images and sample photos for 10 styles. Compare the AI list with differences the team already knows.

AI suggests differences. Your team approves production.

First output: a list identifying which detail of which style needs another check.

Sources and archive context

This is an English edition of the publication dated 2026-10-14. Pages 1-6 translate the original carousel. This page brings its original source notes into the PDF so they can be read without a LinkedIn comment.

[S1] Google AI for Developers / Image understanding

Original recorded access: 2026-10-14. The original editorial record noted an update dated 23 September 2026. This is not a launch date.

https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/image-understanding

How to read these references

Google’s guide illustrates comparative questions using multiple images. Clear images and human assessment matter. This is not evidence of validated success on apparel samples. The coat drawings and review card are SartoAI designs; no real AI sample-review test was performed for this publication.

Archive boundaries

The examples are illustrative and the workflows are proposed project designs. They are not measured customer outcomes, production approval, a guarantee of AI accuracy or a promise of a ready-made integration. Source access dates are preserved from the original editorial record; this translation does not revalidate current third-party product capabilities.

Original attribution: Maria Sarto · AI Marketing Consultant. English edition prepared for SartoAI Intelligence on 31 October 2026.